Why Socio-Emotional Intelligence should be a Core component of Teacher Training Curricula

Deepika Gupta
Assistant Professor
Institute of Education & Research
Mangalayatan University, Aligarh
Email: deepikaeducation22@gmail.com

Abstract

In the context of today's increasingly complex and emotionally dynamic classrooms, socio-emotional intelligence (SEI) has emerged as a vital competency for teachers. However, despite its proven benefits for teacher well-being, student engagement, and inclusive classroom management, SEI remains inadequately represented in most teacher education curricula. This conceptual paper argues for the urgent integration of SEI as a core component of pre-service teacher training. It explores the theoretical foundations of SEI, highlights existing gaps in teacher education programs, and proposes evidence-based strategies for embedding SEI into the curriculum. The paper emphasizes experiential learning, reflective practices, faculty development, and policy alignment as essential for systemic change. By integrating SEI into teacher preparation, educational institutions can equip future educators with the emotional awareness, empathy, and resilience necessary to thrive in today's diverse and inclusive learning environments. This reform is critical not only for teacher effectiveness but also for creating emotionally supportive classrooms where all learners can succeed.

Keywords'

Socio-emotional intelligence, teacher education, teacher training, emotional competence, reflective practice, inclusive education, NEP 2020, experiential learning, teacher well-being, social and emotional learning (SEL)

Introduction

In today's increasingly diverse and dynamic educational landscape, the role of a teacher extends far beyond the traditional bounds of knowledge delivery. Teachers are not only facilitators of academic content but also crucial agents of social and emotional development for their students. This multifaceted role necessitates the cultivation of **Socio-Emotional Intelligence (SEI)**—the ability to recognize, understand, manage, and utilize emotions effectively in oneself and in social contexts (Goleman, 1995; CASEL, 2020). Despite the evident importance of SEI in fostering inclusive, empathetic, and psychologically safe classrooms, teacher education programs across many countries, including India, often overlook this dimension of professional development.

With the advent of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 in India and global movements promoting inclusive and emotionally supportive education, integrating SEI into teacher training is not only relevant but essential. Teachers equipped with SEI are better positioned to manage classrooms, address student needs sensitively, handle stress, and build positive relationships. This paper explores the conceptual foundation of SEI, its significance in the teaching profession, the gaps in current teacher education programs, and proposes strategic recommendations for embedding SEI in teacher training curricula.

Understanding Socio-Emotional Intelligence

Socio-Emotional Intelligence refers to a person's ability to understand and manage their emotions, empathize with others, and build positive social relationships. The term is often linked with emotional intelligence (EI), popularized by Daniel Goleman (1995), who expanded on earlier work by Mayer and Salovey (1990). SEI encompasses both intrapersonal (self-awareness, self-regulation) and interpersonal (empathy, relationship management) competencies that are critical in any human-centered profession—especially teaching.

One of the most widely accepted frameworks for understanding SEI in education is offered by the Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL). It outlines five core competencies:

1. **Self-Awareness**: Recognizing one's emotions, values, and strengths.
2. **Self-Management**: Regulating emotions, thoughts, and behaviors effectively.
3. **Social Awareness**: Empathizing with others and appreciating diversity.
4. **Relationship Skills**: Establishing and maintaining healthy relationships.
5. **Responsible Decision-Making**: Making ethical, constructive choices in personal and social contexts (CASEL, 2020).

These competencies are essential for teachers to create emotionally supportive learning environments, particularly in settings that are inclusive or neurodiverse. A teacher's ability to respond with empathy, manage classroom conflict, and promote emotional regulation among students is strongly correlated with their own SEI skills (Jennings & Greenberg, 2009).

Further, neuroscience and psychology affirm that emotional regulation and empathy are teachable and learnable skills—suggesting that SEI should be a deliberate part of professional development, not left to chance or personal disposition (Immordino-Yang & Damasio, 2007).

Why Socio-Emotional Intelligence Matters for Teachers

Teaching is not merely the transmission of knowledge but an emotionally rich profession that requires navigating complex interpersonal dynamics. Teachers interact with diverse learners, manage behavioral issues, foster a positive learning environment, and often support students dealing with emotional or psychological challenges. In this context, Socio-Emotional Intelligence (SEI) is not a luxury—it is a necessity.

Classroom Management and Emotional Regulation

Teachers with high SEI are better equipped to regulate their own emotions, remain calm in challenging situations, and respond constructively to disruptive behavior. Emotional regulation helps teachers avoid burnout and reduces instances of reactive discipline, which can negatively impact student morale and learning outcomes (Brackett et al., 2010). When teachers model emotional stability and fairness, students feel safer, leading to better classroom behavior and increased academic engagement.

Building Student-Teacher Relationships

Strong student-teacher relationships have been consistently linked to higher levels of student motivation, academic achievement, and emotional well-being (Roorda et al., 2011). Teachers with strong SEI skills are able to build trust, show empathy, and create inclusive learning spaces where students feel respected and understood. This is especially crucial in culturally and linguistically diverse classrooms, where sensitivity to students' backgrounds and emotional cues is vital.

Conflict Resolution and Social Harmony

SEI enables teachers to de-escalate conflicts among students, mediate peer disputes, and teach constructive communication. Instead of punitive approaches, emotionally intelligent teachers focus on restorative practices that foster accountability, empathy, and problem-solving. This supports the development of a classroom culture grounded in mutual respect and collaboration.

Supporting Mental Health and Well-Being

A teacher's ability to recognize emotional distress in students is a key first step in supporting their mental health. High SEI allows educators to identify subtle emotional cues, provide initial emotional support, and refer students to appropriate mental health services when needed. Moreover, emotionally intelligent teachers are more likely to foster emotionally safe environments, which are protective against anxiety, depression, and disengagement (Jennings & Greenberg, 2009).

Relevance in Inclusive and Neurodiverse Classrooms

Inclusive education requires a nuanced understanding of the emotional and cognitive needs of all learners, particularly those with special needs. Teachers must be flexible, empathetic, and patient—qualities that are hallmarks of socio-emotional intelligence. Studies show that inclusive classrooms function more effectively when teachers exhibit strong SEI competencies (Cohen & Sandy, 2007). SEI allows teachers to differentiate instruction, manage diverse learner needs, and cultivate a supportive learning environment that promotes equity and inclusion.

Teacher Resilience and Professional Satisfaction

SEI also contributes to teacher resilience, job satisfaction, and reduced emotional exhaustion. Educators who can manage stress, maintain optimism, and seek social support are more likely to remain in the profession and report higher levels of professional fulfillment (Vesely, Saklofske, & Leschied, 2013). This is particularly relevant in high-pressure educational contexts, where burnout and attrition rates are high.

Current Gaps in Teacher Education Programs

While modern education policies increasingly emphasize holistic student development, teacher education programs in many countries, including India, continue to prioritize subject content knowledge and pedagogical strategies over socio-emotional skill development. This disconnect has contributed to a lack of preparedness among pre-service teachers to navigate the emotional, social, and behavioral dimensions of teaching in real-world classrooms.

Overemphasis on Cognitive and Pedagogical Training

Traditional teacher training curricula largely focus on lesson planning, content mastery, classroom assessment, and instructional strategies. Though essential, these areas are often delivered in isolation from the emotional realities of the classroom. As a result, teachers may graduate with sound academic knowledge but remain unprepared for managing emotional distress, handling conflict, or addressing trauma among students (Schonert-Reichl, 2017).

Lack of Structured SEI Modules

Very few teacher education programs offer dedicated courses or modules on SEI. When SEI is included, it is often through a brief lecture or an optional workshop rather than being embedded as a core, sustained component of professional learning. Without consistent exposure, teachers are unlikely to internalize or apply socio-emotional competencies in their practice (Jennings, 2011).

Inadequate Faculty Training in SEI

Another major gap lies in the capacity of teacher educators themselves. Many faculty members in teacher education institutions may not have formal training in emotional intelligence or psychological counseling, limiting their ability to model or mentor SEI development. Without institutional investment in building SEI competencies among educators, systemic change is difficult to achieve (Schonert-Reichl & Roeser, 2016).

Neglect of Reflective Practices and Self-Awareness

Reflective teaching—a process essential for SEI—requires teachers to examine their own emotional responses, biases, and interactions. However, teacher preparation programs rarely incorporate reflective exercises such as journaling, role-playing, or case-based discussions that foster emotional insight. This results in limited self-awareness, a foundational pillar of SEI (Rodriguez et al., 2021).

Disconnect from Policy and Classroom Realities

Although frameworks like India's National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 highlight the importance of emotional well-being, this vision is yet to be meaningfully translated into pre-service teacher education. There remains a policy-practice gap, with little alignment between curriculum reforms and the real-life challenges that teachers face in inclusive and emotionally complex classrooms (NCERT, 2021).

Integrating SEI into Teacher Training Curricula: Recommendations and Strategies

To prepare teachers for the emotional demands of the classroom and enable them to foster holistic student development, socio-emotional intelligence (SEI) must be embedded as a core component of teacher education. This requires a shift from peripheral workshops to an integrated, sustained, and evidence-based approach within teacher training curricula. Below are key recommendations and strategies to achieve this integration.

Embedding SEI as a Core Curriculum Component

Rather than treating SEI as an add-on, it should be woven into the very fabric of teacher education programs. This includes:

- **Dedicated Courses on SEI**: Full-semester or modular courses that explore the theory, application, and development of socio-emotional competencies, grounded in frameworks like CASEL and Goleman's model.

- **Interdisciplinary Integration**: Linking SEI principles with courses on pedagogy, inclusive education, educational psychology, and classroom management.

This holistic integration helps pre-service teachers view SEI not as separate from teaching, but as an essential pedagogical and professional tool (OECD, 2015).

Experiential and Reflective Learning

SEI is best developed through experiential methods that allow teachers to practice emotional awareness and interpersonal skills. Effective strategies include:

- **Role-plays and Simulations**: Engaging in real-life classroom scenarios involving conflict resolution, empathy, or difficult conversations.
- **Reflective Journaling**: Encouraging self-awareness by reflecting on emotional responses, biases, and teaching practices.
- **Peer Feedback and Mentorship**: Collaborative learning environments where teachers receive constructive feedback on their socio-emotional behavior.

These practices help transform theoretical knowledge into emotional competence (Schonert-Reichl, 2017).

Faculty Capacity Building

Teacher educators and faculty members must themselves be well-versed in SEI to model and mentor its development. Institutions should:

- Conduct faculty development workshops on SEI.
- Encourage research and action-based inquiry into emotional intelligence and classroom practice.
- Promote emotional literacy across the institutional ecosystem.

Investing in faculty capacity ensures consistent, high-quality delivery of SEI-focused content (Jennings et al., 2013).

Integration with Inclusive Education and Mental Health

Given the rise of inclusive and neurodiverse classrooms, SEI training should be aligned with inclusive education practices and mental health awareness. Pre-service teachers should be equipped to:

- Address the emotional needs of students with learning difficulties or behavioral disorders.
- Foster empathy and social connectedness in diverse classrooms.
- Identify signs of mental distress and respond with sensitivity.

This intersectional approach makes SEI training more relevant and impactful (Cefai & Cavioni, 2014).

Policy Advocacy and Institutional Support

Effective SEI integration requires supportive institutional policies and frameworks. Stakeholders should:

- Align teacher education curriculum frameworks with the NEP 2020 goals emphasizing holistic and value-based education.
- Include SEI-based competencies in teacher evaluation and accreditation standards.
- Encourage collaborations with organizations like CASEL or UNESCO MGIEP that promote emotional well-being and social learning in education.Long-term, systemic change depends on policy alignment and leadership support (UNESCO MGIEP, 2020).

Conclusion and Final Thoughts

As the classroom continues to evolve into a space of increasing diversity, emotional complexity, and social demand, the role of the teacher must also expand beyond delivering content knowledge. Socio-emotional intelligence (SEI) is no longer a "soft skill"—it is a critical competency that influences teacher effectiveness, student achievement, classroom climate, and mental well-being.

Despite its proven value, SEI remains largely underrepresented in formal teacher training curricula. This gap undermines efforts toward inclusive education, student-centered learning, and teacher resilience. If we are to build future-ready educators who can lead empathetically, manage stress effectively, and foster meaningful student relationships, embedding SEI as a core component of teacher education is not optional—it is essential.

Integrating SEI into teacher education requires a systemic shift—from curriculum design and faculty development to policy alignment and institutional commitment. Structured SEI modules, experiential learning methods, and reflective practices can cultivate emotionally intelligent educators capable of nurturing emotionally healthy students. By doing so, we not only elevate teaching as a profession but also pave the way for more compassionate, inclusive, and resilient learning environments.

As educational systems worldwide aim to humanize learning and prepare students for the social challenges of the 21st century, teacher education programs must lead by example. Making socio-emotional intelligence a foundational pillar of teacher training is not merely a pedagogical enhancement—it is a transformative step toward sustainable educational reform.

References

Goleman, D. (1995). Emotional Intelligence: Why It Can Matter More Than IQ. Bantam Books.

Immordino-Yang, M. H., & Damasio, A. (2007). We feel, therefore we learn: The relevance of affective and social neuroscience to education. Mind, Brain, and Education, 1(1), 3–10.

Jennings, P. A., & Greenberg, M. T. (2009). The prosocial classroom: Teacher social and emotional competence in relation to student and classroom outcomes. Review of Educational Research, 79(1), 491–525.

Mayer, J. D., & Salovey, P. (1990). Emotional intelligence. Imagination, Cognition and Personality, 9(3), 185–211.

Brackett, M. A., Reyes, M. R., Rivers, S. E., Elbertson, N. A., & Salovey, P. (2010). Assessing teachers' beliefs about social and emotional learning. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 28(3), 219–230.

Cohen, J., & Sandy, S. V. (2007). Teachers' socio-emotional competence and classroom climate. Center for Social and Emotional Education, NY.

Jennings, P. A., & Greenberg, M. T. (2009). The prosocial classroom: Teacher social and emotional competence in relation to student and classroom outcomes. Review of Educational Research, 79(1), 491–525.

Roorda, D. L., Koomen, H. M., Spilt, J. L., & Oort, F. J. (2011). The influence of affective teacher–student relationships on students' school engagement and achievement: A meta-analytic approach. Review of Educational Research, 81(4), 493–529.

Vesely, A. K., Saklofske, D. H., & Leschied, A. D. (2013). Teachers—The vital resource: The contribution of emotional intelligence to teacher efficacy and well-being. Canadian Journal of School Psychology, 28(1), 71–89.

Jennings, P. A. (2011). Promoting teachers' social and emotional competencies to support performance and reduce burnout. In A. Cohan & A. Honigsfeld (Eds.), Breaking the Mold of Pre-service and In-service Teacher Education (pp. 133–143). Rowman & Littlefield.

NCERT (2021). Teacher Education Curriculum Framework: Aligning with NEP 2020. National Council of Educational Research and Training.

Rodriguez, I. R., Tsukayama, E., & Duckworth, A. L. (2021). Self-awareness and social emotional learning in pre-service teachers. Teaching and Teacher Education, 98, 103217.

Schonert-Reichl, K. A. (2017). Social and emotional learning and teachers. The Future of Children, 27(1), 137–155.

Schonert-Reichl, K. A., & Roeser, R. W. (2016). Handbook of social and emotional learning: Research and practice. Guilford Press.

Cefai, C., & Cavioni, V. (2014). Social and Emotional Education in Primary School: Integrating Theory and Research into Practice. Springer.

Jennings, P. A., Frank, J. L., Snowberg, K. E., Coccia, M. A., & Greenberg, M. T. (2013). Improving classroom learning environments by cultivating awareness and resilience in education (CARE): Results of a randomized controlled trial. School Psychology Quarterly, 28(4), 374–390.

OECD (2015). Skills for Social Progress: The Power of Social and Emotional Skills. OECD Publishing.

Schonert-Reichl, K. A. (2017). Social and emotional learning and teachers. The Future of Children, 27(1), 137–155.

UNESCO MGIEP (2020). Rethinking Learning: A Review of Social and Emotional Learning for Education Systems. UNESCO Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Education for Peace and Sustainable Development.

Cefai, C., & Cavioni, V. (2014). Social and Emotional Education in Primary School: Integrating Theory and Research into Practice. Springer.

Jennings, P. A. (2011). Promoting teachers' social and emotional competencies to support performance and reduce burnout. In A. Cohan & A. Honigsfeld (Eds.), Breaking the Mold of Pre-service and In-service Teacher Education (pp. 133–143). Rowman & Littlefield.

Jennings, P. A., Frank, J. L., Snowberg, K. E., Coccia, M. A., & Greenberg, M. T. (2013). Improving classroom learning environments by cultivating awareness and resilience in education (CARE): Results of a randomized controlled trial. School Psychology Quarterly, 28(4), 374–390.

NCERT (2021). Teacher Education Curriculum Framework: Aligning with NEP 2020. National Council of Educational Research and Training.

OECD (2015). Skills for Social Progress: The Power of Social and Emotional Skills. OECD Publishing.

Rodriguez, I. R., Tsukayama, E., & Duckworth, A. L. (2021). Self-awareness and social emotional learning in pre-service teachers. Teaching and Teacher Education, 98, 103217.

Schonert-Reichl, K. A. (2017). Social and emotional learning and teachers. The Future of Children, 27(1), 137–155.

Schonert-Reichl, K. A., & Roeser, R. W. (2016). Handbook of Social and Emotional Learning: Research and Practice. Guilford Press.

UNESCO MGIEP (2020). Rethinking Learning: A Review of Social and Emotional Learning for Education Systems. UNESCO Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Education for Peace and Sustainable Development.