

Impact of Mobile Applications on Employment Conditions of Gig Workers

Sarita Thakur

Research Scholar,

Dr. Raj Kumar Sharma

Assistant Professor

Institute of Business Management and Commerce,

Mangalayatan University Aligarh

E-mail: rajsharma2008@outlook.com

E-mail: 20242523_sarita@mangalayatan.edu.in

Abstract

Mobile applications' widespread use has drastically changed labour markets, propelling the gig economy's growth and altering the sourcing and execution of work. This study examines how mobile applications affect gig workers' working conditions, focusing on how they affect professional autonomy, skill development, performance management, and income stability. The study synthesizes evidence from academic literature, industry reports, and previous investigations using a secondary data analysis methodology. Results show that mobile apps improve schedule flexibility and labour market accessibility, which makes gig work especially appealing to young people, students, and people looking for extra money. However, these benefits are counterbalanced by significant challenges: workers face income volatility, employment precarity, and a near-total absence of social security benefits. Furthermore, app-based rating and algorithmic control systems create pervasive uncertainty and stress, directly influencing earnings and job access while substantially constraining true autonomy. Although such platforms can facilitate the development of digital and soft skills, opportunities for meaningful career progression remain limited. The study concludes that mobile applications present a dual reality for gig workers, offering immediate entry into the labor market while simultaneously embedding structural vulnerabilities. It underscores an urgent need for regulatory and policy frameworks designed to promote secure and equitable working conditions in the platform-mediated gig economy.

Key words: Gig Economy, Gig Work, Freelancer, Digital Platforms, algorithmic management, app-work, HRM control

Introduction

With 500 million users globally and 60% of Americans owning smartphones, the widespread use of these devices has drastically changed daily life and economic activities (Patrick, 2016). With catalogues of over a million possibilities and accounting for around 89% of all mobile usage,

mobile applications are the main driver of this change. The average American spends about five hours a day on these gadgets, demonstrating the high level of user engagement (Flurry, 2017). This participation has a substantial economic impact: in the United States alone, 22.4 million consumers used online platforms to spend \$57.6 billion yearly (Colby & Bell, 2016), while global app revenues increased by 35% to about \$60 billion in 2017 (Nelson, 2018). To meet this soaring demand, the global workforce of mobile app developers had already surpassed 8.7 million by 2014 (Evans Data Corporation, 2014).

As a result, a wide range of businesses, including banking, healthcare, education, and entertainment, now strongly rely on and compete with mobile applications (Wang, 2017). Competition for market share, user adoption, and return on investment is fierce in this environment. The possibility of poor adoption rates and market consolidation is a major worry in this environment. Innovation and customer-focused best practices may be hindered if a small number of powerful companies create monopolies. Monopolists have the ability to manipulate prices and maybe take advantage of customers by offering subpar goods, or they can deliberately drop costs to discourage new competitors, which would be detrimental to the long-term sustainability of the market.

The labour markets are especially affected by this technological revolution. One of the main forces behind the gig economy's growth is mobile applications, which are methodically changing traditional jobs into flexible, task-based engagements. Workers can access on-demand opportunities through ride-hailing, food delivery, and freelancing services platforms, which offer accessibility and liberty. However, algorithmic management and performance-based grading systems, which have a significant impact on employment availability and pay, are how these model controls work. Gig workers frequently face income volatility, employment precarity, and a lack of social safety nets despite these conditions' flexibility.

Therefore, in the context of a digital economy increasingly mediated by apps, it is imperative to critically examine how these platforms shape the employment conditions of gig workers. Understanding this dual impact between promised flexibility and structural insecurity—is crucial for fostering an equitable future of work.

INDIA AS A GIG ECONOMY HUB

Driven by unparalleled digitalization, inexpensive mobile connectivity, and broad smartphone usage, India has quickly become a global hub for the gig economy. Millions of people are now engaged in platform-mediated labour in industries including ride-hailing (Ola, Uber), food

delivery (Swiggy, Zomato), freelance services (Upwork, Urban Company), and micro-task outsourcing. For the country's large youth population, students looking for extra money, and workers leaving the unorganized economy, the gig model provides essential flexible employment. The gig economy is a major engine of economic growth and digital financial inclusion, and it helps reduce underemployment by taking up a sizable share of the labour force.

However, there are significant structural obstacles that conflict with its expansion. The very flexibility that characterizes gig employment is sometimes associated with unstable income, a lack of formal labour rights, and the absence of social security benefits like health insurance, pensions, or paid time off. These circumstances highlight a crucial paradox: the gig economy may be institutionalizing a new type of precarious work while simultaneously creating jobs. In order to ensure that India's digital labour revolution fosters both sustainable livelihoods and economic growth, it is imperative to address this dichotomy.

THE ROLE OF MOBILE APPLICATIONS

The spread of mobile applications is closely related to the growth of the gig economy. These platforms turn smartphones into digital workplaces by acting as the crucial technological infrastructure that links supply (workers) with demand (consumers or clients). Smith and Johnson point out that by reducing entry barriers and making it easier to access a fragmented market of short-term opportunities, mobile apps have significantly expanded worker involvement.

In order to accommodate a wide range of worker qualifications, these applications curate a diversified marketplace by aggregating roles that span from low-skill labour (like food delivery and packet delivery) to high-skill professional services (like graphic design and coding). Operational flexibility, which is the hallmark of the gig economy, is based on this technological mediation. Platforms usually give employees unmatched control over their work schedules and locations by enabling them to log in and out whenever they choose. As demonstrated by platforms like One Day One Job, which specifically provide for such non-traditional participation, this approach is highly appealing to a variety of groups, including students, homemakers, part-timers, and people in transitional employment who value control over their time.

THE DUALITY OF FLEXIBILITY AND PRECARITY

Yet, this celebrated flexibility often masks underlying precarity. A growing body of criticism highlights the significant trade-offs gig workers face. Key concerns include:

- **Algorithmic Control & Income Volatility:** While offering schedule autonomy, apps often govern work through opaque algorithms that manage tasks, performance ratings,

and payout structures. This can lead to unpredictable earning fluctuations, intense competition, and a lack of transparent grievance redressal.

- **The Independent Contractor Paradigm:** Most gig workers are classified as independent contractors, not employees. This legal distinction excludes them from core labor protections and employer-sponsored benefits like minimum wage guarantees, health insurance, paid leave, and collective bargaining rights.
- **Skill Development & Career Plateauing:** Although some platforms facilitate skill utilization, there is often limited scope for meaningful upskilling or clear vertical career progression within the gig ecosystem, potentially trapping workers in cyclical, low-mobility roles.

These structural issues highlight a critical gap between the promise of flexible work and the reality of economic insecurity. Consequently, there is a pressing need for scholarly and policy-focused research to investigate the long-term socioeconomic impacts of the gig economy. Key questions revolve around sustainable worker classification models, the design of portable benefits, and the ethical implementation of algorithmic management to ensure that the future of work in India and beyond is both innovative and equitable.

Literature Review

The intersection of mobile applications and the gig economy has garnered significant scholarly attention, with research exploring dimensions of adoption, worker experience, platform design, and algorithmic control. This review synthesizes key studies to contextualize the present research.

1) Drivers of Mobile Application Adoption in the Gig Economy

La Jolla, California March (2020) study on “factor affecting mobile application in gig economy”. A foundational study (La Jolla, 2020) investigated factors affecting mobile application adoption within the gig economy, employing the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology 2 (UTAUT2) model. The research identified **hedonic motivation** the pleasure derived from app use as the most significant predictor of adoption, underscoring the importance of user engagement and satisfaction. Other positive correlates included performance expectancy, facilitating conditions, and habitual usage. Notably, the study validated **revenue generation** as a critical, additive construct to the UTAUT2 framework, enhancing its explanatory power. The

findings suggest that a strategic integration of these factors can substantially increase adoption rates, highlighting the economic and experiential incentives that draw workers to platforms.

2) Algorithmic Control and Worker Agency

Cosmin Popan (2021) is made a study on “Embodied Precariat and Digital Control in the “Gig Economy”: The Mobile Labor of Food Delivery Workers”.

Subsequent research delves into the experiential realities of platform work, revealing a tension between control and resistance. Popan's (2021) ethnographic study of Deliveroo couriers illustrates how algorithmic management creates an "embodied precariat." Workers navigate contradictory demands of "smart" efficiency, simultaneously adopting entrepreneurial adaptations to optimize performance metrics while fostering informal solidarity networks to mitigate isolation and challenge platform control. This duality demonstrates that worker agency persists within structurally constrained environments.

3) Platform Design for Labor Access

Kurstan Abazbekov and Andrei Ermakov (2025), made a study on “Development of a Mobile Application to Search for Additional Income in the “One Day One Job” Project”.

Study says that One Day One Job provides an easy-to-use and welcoming online platform that links individuals to temporary, flexible job openings that demand few qualifications. It aids both teenagers and adults in generating quick income while managing personal obligations and acquiring hands-on experience. Ultimately, the app enhances engagement in the gig economy by fostering accessibility, skill enhancement, and self-assurance among its users.

4) Algorithmic Human Resource Management (HRM)

James Duggan, Ronan Carbery, Anthony McDonnell, Ultan Sherman (2023) is made a study on the topic “Algorithmic HRM control in the gig economy: The app-worker perspective”. The research indicates that the use of algorithmic HRM control in app-based gig work establishes a stringent and widespread system of worker supervision, which restricts genuine flexibility despite the claims made by the platforms. Additionally, it shows that both algorithms and external actors play a substantial role in determining task distribution, evaluating performance, and affecting worker independence, resulting in inflexibility and pressure for gig workers.

Synthesis and Research Gap

The body of research shows that, due to certain adoption factors, mobile applications are essential to the expansion of the gig economy (La Jolla, 2020; Abazbekov & Ermakov, 2025). Once involved, however, workers function inside a sophisticated ecosystem of algorithmic control that determines their autonomy and financial results (Popan, 2021; Duggan et al., 2023). A comprehensive analysis that clearly connects particular platform mechanisms, such as rating systems and algorithmic management, to observable results in worker income stability, skill advancement, and realised autonomy is still required, even though current research adequately addresses adoption drivers and systemic control. That void is what this study seeks to fill.

Problem Statement

The gig economy has grown rapidly across industries including ride-hailing, food delivery, freelance services, and on-demand jobs due to the rapid spread of mobile applications, which has fundamentally altered labour markets. These digital platforms have institutionalized a new paradigm of precarious employment while purportedly providing workers with previously unheard-of freedom and additional revenue streams. Pervasive algorithmic control, wage instability, job insecurity, and a systemic lack of social safeguards usually available to regular employees are characteristics of this paradigm. A crucial knowledge gap continues as an expanding workforce grows economically and structurally dependent on these applications for their livelihood. The precise structures and mechanics of mobile platforms, ranging from task-matching algorithms to rating systems, are not well understood in terms of how they directly affect gig workers' socioeconomic well-being, earnings stability, working conditions, and general job satisfaction. The creation of efficient labour laws and business procedures is hampered by this disparity.

In order to thoroughly examine the complex effects of mobile applications on the working circumstances of gig workers, this study is essential. Its objective is to pinpoint the specific dynamics that lead to both opportunity and precarity, so offering an empirically supported basis for platform and policy changes that can promote a more sustainable, safe, and fair future for gig employment.

Objectives

This study aims to achieve the following specific objectives:

1. To analyze the influence of app-based rating and feedback systems on gig workers' income stability and perceived job performance.
2. To evaluate the role of mobile applications in facilitating skill acquisition and enabling (or constraining) long-term career progression for gig workers.
3. To investigate the extent of worker autonomy within platform-mediated labor, specifically examining how mobile applications shape decision-making authority and control over work processes.

Research Methodology

This study uses a descriptive research strategy to methodically examine and compile the body of knowledge regarding how mobile applications affect the working circumstances of gig workers. In order to build upon the established foundation of scholarly work, the enquiry only uses secondary data, avoiding the collecting of original data.

Information was methodically collected from a variety of reliable, peer-reviewed sources, such as:

- Academic journals and periodicals
- Scholarly books and monographs
- Published theses and dissertations
- Reputable industry and institutional reports
- Authoritative news analyses

There are a number of benefits to using secondary data. It enables a thorough comprehension of popular theories, trends, and historical background pertaining to the research issue. This approach guarantees that the analysis is based on verified and recorded evidence while facilitating a wide synthesis of viewpoints. Additionally, it makes it possible to effectively identify areas of agreement and disagreement within the discipline, offering a strong foundation for critical analysis and identifying the research gap that this study aims to fill. Thematic synthesis is a step in the analytical process that involves classifying, comparing, and interpreting data from the chosen literature in order to arrive at logical conclusions regarding the stated research goals.

Findings and Discussion

The analysis reveals that mobile applications are not neutral tools but active architects of gig work conditions, directly mediating **income, performance management, skill development, and autonomy**. They have effectively reconfigured traditional employment into a technology-mediated transaction governed by digital algorithms.

1. The Double-Edged Sword of Algorithmic Evaluation

App-based rating and feedback systems are a primary mechanism of control and incentive. While high ratings can enhance visibility, unlock premium earnings, and incentivize quality service, their design fosters significant precarity. The findings indicate these systems are often **opaque, unpredictable, and susceptible to bias**. A single negative review, potentially stemming from factors beyond a worker's control, can detrimentally impact future job access and income stability. This creates a state of **perpetual performance anxiety**, contributing to chronic stress and emotional labor as workers internalize the pressure of constant evaluation.

2. Skills Development with Limited Mobility

Mobile applications contribute to skill acquisition by exposing workers to diverse tasks and digital interfaces, fostering technical proficiencies (e.g., app navigation, digital literacy) and soft skills (e.g., customer service, adaptability). Some platforms further offer in-app tutorials and performance analytics. However, this upskilling remains largely **informal, unrecognized, and non-portable**. The absence of formal certification or structured career pathways within platforms severely limits the **long-term occupational mobility and market value** of these acquired skills, often trapping workers in a cycle of similar tasks without prospects for advancement.

3. The Illusion of Autonomy and Reality of Algorithmic Control

A core finding highlights a critical contradiction between perceived and actual autonomy. Superficially, gig workers enjoy schedule flexibility and the choice to accept or decline tasks. In practice, their agency is substantially constrained by **algorithmic management**. Dynamic pricing, prioritized task allocation for highly-rated workers, and geographically optimized routing subtly but powerfully dictate behavior, earnings potential, and work patterns. This results in a form of **digital paternalism**, where the platform's logic ultimately supersedes worker discretion.

Synthesis

In the end, the results show a dualistic effect: mobile applications create new kinds of control and insecurity while democratizing access to flexible labour and income generating. Because of this, workers must navigate a world of both opportunity and fragility, where autonomy is limited by algorithmic regulation and flexibility is exchanged for stability.

Conclusion

According to the study's findings, mobile applications have been the gig economy's primary infrastructure driver, changing employment into an on-demand, digitally mediated paradigm. They have effectively reduced entry barriers, increased labour market participation, and offered the scheduling flexibility, usability, and accessibility that satisfy the demand for contingent work. The model's popularity and expansion are largely dependent on its perceived ease of use and quick access to tasks.

However, there have been substantial human consequences associated with this change. The study shows that structural precarity is inextricably related to the much-heralded flexibility of gig labour. Algorithmic control consistently undermines professed autonomy, skill improvement lacks formal value for professional advancement, and arbitrary rating systems render income insecure. Worker security and well-being are frequently directly at odds with the platform's operational logic, which prioritizes efficiency, scalability, and customer pleasure.

Therefore, the future sustainability of the gig economy hinges on reconciling this tension. Moving forward requires a concerted focus on redesigning platform governance to ensure fair algorithmic practices, creating portable benefit systems independent of employment status, and developing frameworks for formal skill recognition. Only through such targeted policy and ethical platform design can the promise of flexible work be realized without perpetuating a cycle of insecurity for the digital workforce.

REFERENCES

1. **La Jolla, C. (2020).** *Factors affecting adoption of mobile application in gig economy* (Doctoral dissertation). ProQuest. (27744559).
2. **Popan, C. (2024).** Embodied precariat and digital control in the gig economy: The mobile labor of food delivery workers. *Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies*, 31(1), 109–128. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10630732.2021.2001714>
3. **Abazbekov, K., & Ermakov, A. (2025).** *Development of a mobile application to search for additional income in the "One Day One Job" project*. Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202504.2436.v1>
4. **Duggan, J., Carbery, R., McDonnell, A., & Sherman, U. (2023).** Algorithmic HRM control in the gig economy: The app-worker perspective. *Human Resource Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.22168>

5. **Harrison, S., Flood, D., & Duce, D. (2013).** Usability of mobile applications: Literature review and rationale for a new usability model. *Journal of Interaction Science*, 1(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2194-0827-1-1>
6. **Al-Razgan, M., et al. (2021).** A systematic literature review on the usability of mobile applications for visually impaired users. *PeerJ Computer Science*, 7, e771. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.771>
7. **Jagadeesan, S., Drave, V. A., & Bhatt, P. C. (2023).** Mobile application development: A comprehensive and systematic literature review. *YMER*, 22(3), 98–110.
8. **Abazbekov, K., & Ermakov, A. (2025).** *Development of a mobile application to search for additional income in the “One Day One Job” project.* Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202504.2436.v1>
9. **Reddy, M. S. (2022).** Challenges and opportunities in the development of gig economy in India. *International Journal for Research Trends and Innovation (IJRTI)*, 7(12).
10. **Goswami, T. G., & Ranjan, S. K. (2015).** Green HRM: Approach to sustainability in current scenario. *Journal for Studies in Management and Planning*, 1(4), 250–259.
11. **Stewart, A., & Stanford, J. (2017).** Regulating work in the gig economy: What are the options? *The Economic and Labour Relations Review*, 28(3), 420–437. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1035304617722461>
12. **Wood, A. J., Graham, M., Lehdonvirta, V., & Hjorth, I. (2019).** Good gig, bad gig: Autonomy and algorithmic control in the global gig economy. *Work, Employment and Society*, 33(1), 56–75. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017018785616>
13. **Alderman, L. (2019, June 16).** Food-delivery couriers exploit desperate migrants in France. *The New York Times*.
14. **Amoore, L. (2018).** Cloud geographies: Computing, data, sovereignty. *Progress in Human Geography*, 42(1), 4–24. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132516662142>
15. **Benjamin, R. (2019).** *Race after technology: Abolitionist tools for the new Jim code.* Polity Press.
16. **Benski, T., Langman, L. Perugorria, I., & Tejerina, B. (2013).** From the streets and squares to social movement studies: What have we learned? *Current Sociology*, 61(4), 541–561. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011392113479753>
17. **Bonilla, Y., & Rosa, J. (2015).** Ferguson: Digital protest, hashtag ethnography, and the racial politics of social media in the United States. *American Ethnologist*, 42(1), 4–17. <https://doi.org/10.1111/amet.12112>